



U6.3 The Kalman gain

What this lesson is about

Where the weighting comes from: two variances, one line of arithmetic, no taste involved.

- The scalar filter, in full
- Reading the gain
- The steady state gain

Questions

6 marks in all

1. A scalar Kalman filter has $p = 100$ and $R = 25$. What is the Kalman gain k ? [1 mark]

Answer: 0.8 (accept within 0.001). $k = p / (p + R) = 100 / 125 = 0.8$. The estimate is far less certain than the measurement, so it takes most of it.

2. One full predict and correct of the scalar filter. What does it print? [1 mark]

```
x, p = 0.0, 100.0
v, dt, Q, R = 20.0, 0.1, 0.5, 25.0
measured = 5.0
x = x + v * dt
p = p + Q
k = p / (p + R)
x = x + k * (measured - x)
p = (1 - k) * p
print(round(k, 3), round(x, 3), round(p, 3))
```

Answer:

0.801 4.402 20.02

Predict: $x = 2$, $p = 100.5$. Then $k = 100.5 / 125.5 = 0.801$, $x = 2 + 0.801 \times 3 = 4.402$, and $p = (1 - k) \times 100.5 = 20.02$. One measurement cut the variance by a factor of five.

3. The steady state gain from the lesson's formula, checked by running the filter. What does it print? [1 mark]

```
Q, R = 0.5, 25.0
p = (Q + (Q ** 2 + 4 * Q * R) ** 0.5) / 2
print(round(p / (p + R), 3))

p = 100.0
for i in range(200):
    p += Q
    k = p / (p + R)
    p = (1 - k) * p
print(round(k, 3))
```

Answer:

0.132
0.132

The formula gives $p = (0.5 + \sqrt{50.25}) / 2 = 3.79$, so $k = 3.79 / 28.79 = 0.132$, and the iterated filter settles on the same value.

4. Q stays at 0.5 and R is doubled from 25 to 50. What happens to the steady state gain? [1 mark]

- ☐ A It falls, because the measurement is now trusted less
☐ B It rises, because there is more noise to correct
☐ C It halves exactly
☐ D It is unchanged

Answer: A. R is how noisy the measurement is. A larger R makes $k = p / (p + R)$ smaller, so the filter moves less towards each measurement. It does not exactly halve, because the settled p changes too.

5. The filter is started with $p = 0.01$ while the estimate is in fact badly wrong. What happens for the first second or so? [1 mark]

- ☐ A The gain is near 0, so it largely ignores the measurements
☐ B The gain is near 1, so it jumps to each measurement
☐ C It diverges immediately
☐ D Nothing different from starting at $p = 100$

Answer: A. A tiny p claims the estimate is almost certain, so k is tiny: 2 percent on the first tick with $Q = 0.5$ and $R = 25$. Q grows p every tick, so the gain reaches 12 percent within a second and the filter starts to listen.

6. Once the gain has settled, which statements are true? [1 mark]

Tick every answer that is true.

- ☐ A The filter behaves like an exponential filter with alpha equal to the settled gain
☐ B The gain can be computed once and hard-coded, skipping the variance arithmetic
☐ C The filter has stopped using the measurements
☐ D The gain is always 0.5 at steady state

Answer: A, B. With constant Q and R, k converges to a fixed value, so the update is exactly an exponential filter with that alpha. Many shipped systems hard-code it.

The task: a Kalman filter in one dimension

Run the scalar filter while driving at the wall. Plot `measured`, `estimate` and `gain`, with the gain as a percentage so that it shows. Print `my y:` and `final gain:`, the gain itself as a number between 0 and 1. No `position()`.

```
from bugbot import *
connect()

DT, START_GAP = 0.1, 110.0
R = 25.0
Q = 0.5
est, p = 0.0, 100.0
```

The hint students can ask for: Carry a variance p as well as the estimate. Predict: $p += Q$. Correct: $k = p / (p + R)$, then $estimate += k * (measured - estimate)$, then $p = (1 - k) * p$. R is the measurement's variance, which is sigma squared, and sigma here is about 5 cm.

A solution

```
from bugbot import *
connect()

DT = 0.1
START_GAP = 110.0
R = 25.0          # the depth sensor's variance: sigma 5 cm, squared
Q = 0.5          # how much the model can be wrong by in one tick

est = 0.0
p = 100.0        # we start quite unsure
k = 0.0

forward(60)
for i in range(80):
    est += flow()[1] * DT          # predict the state
    p += Q                        # and the uncertainty grows
    measured = START_GAP - distance()
    k = p / (p + R)                # the Kalman gain
    est += k * (measured - est)    # correct
    p = (1 - k) * p               # and the uncertainty shrinks
    plot("measured", measured)
    plot("estimate", est)
    plot("gain", k)
    if est > 62:
        stop()
    wait(DT)
stop()
print("my y:", round(est, 1))
print("final gain:", round(k, 3))
```

Any program that meets the task's checks is marked correct in the simulator; this is one way, not the only way.